



Opal Finance – Protocol

Smart Contract Security
Assessment

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: January 08th, 2024 – February 12th, 2024

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	8
CONTACTS	8
1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	9
1.1 INTRODUCTION	10
1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	11
1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	12
2 RISK METHODOLOGY	13
2.1 EXPLOITABILITY	14
2.2 IMPACT	15
2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	17
2.4 SCOPE	19
3 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	20
4 FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	22
4.1 (HAL-01) ERC20 TOKENS CAN BE DRAINED FROM OMNIPOOL - CRITICAL(10)	24
Description	24
Code Location	24
Proof of Concept	25
BVSS	25
Recommendation	25
Remediation Plan	25
4.2 (HAL-02) LACK OF AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN SWAPFORGEM - CRITICAL(10)	26
Description	26
Code Location	26

Proof of Concept	27
BVSS	27
Recommendation	27
Remediation Plan	27
4.3 (HAL-03) WITHDRAWAL DELAY CAN BYPASSED - CRITICAL(10)	28
Description	28
Code Location	28
Proof of Concept	29
BVSS	29
Recommendation	29
Remediation Plan	29
4.4 (HAL-04) GETUSDPRICE INCORRECTLY HANDLES TOKEN DECIMALS - CRITICAL(10)	30
Description	30
Code Location	30
Proof of Concept	31
BVSS	31
Recommendation	31
Remediation Plan	31
4.5 (HAL-05) IMPROPER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MINIMAL PROXY STANDARD - CRITICAL(10)	32
Description	32
Code Location	32
Proof of Concept	33
BVSS	33
Recommendation	33

Remediation Plan	33
4.6 (HAL-06) IMPROPER LOOP IMPLEMENTATIONS - HIGH(7.5)	34
Description	34
Code Location	34
BVSS	35
Recommendation	35
Remediation Plan	35
4.7 (HAL-07) LACK OF SIGNATURE VALIDATION - MEDIUM(5.0)	36
Description	36
Code Location	36
BVSS	37
Recommendation	37
Remediation Plan	37
4.8 (HAL-08) OPALLPTOKEN DECIMALS ARE NOT SET CORRECTLY - MEDIUM(5.0)	38
Description	38
Code Location	38
Proof of Concept	38
BVSS	39
Recommendation	39
Remediation Plan	39
4.9 (HAL-09) LACK OF STALENESS CHECK IN GETUSDPRICE - MEDIUM(5.0)	40
Description	40

Code Location	40
BVSS	40
Recommendation	41
References	41
Remediation Plan	41
4.10 (HAL-10) APPROVE IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH NON-STANDARD ERC20 TOKENS - MEDIUM(5.0)	42
Description	42
Code Location	42
Proof of Concept	43
BVSS	43
Recommendation	43
References	43
Remediation Plan	43
4.11 (HAL-11) USING TRANSFER INSTEAD OF SAFETRANSFER - MEDIUM(5.0)	44
Description	44
Code Location	44
BVSS	45
Recommendation	45
References	45
Remediation Plan	45
4.12 (HAL-12) PRICE FEED PRECISION IS ASSUMED IN GETUSDPRICE - LOW(3.4)	46
Description	46

Code Location	46
Proof of Concept	47
BVSS	47
Recommendation	47
References	47
Remediation Plan	47

4.13 (HAL-13) IMPROPER HANDLEDEPEGGEDPOOL IMPLEMENTATION - LOW(3.4)
48

Description	48
Code Location	48
BVSS	49
Recommendation	49
Remediation Plan	49

4.14 (HAL-14) PRICE FEED ORACLE ADDRESS CANNOT BE UPDATED - LOW(2.5)
50

Description	50
Code Location	50
BVSS	50
Recommendation	51
Remediation Plan	51

4.15 (HAL-15) MINUNDERLYINGRECEIVED INCLUDES THE FEES IN OMNIPOL -
LOW(2.5) 52

Description	52
Code Location	52
BVSS	53
Recommendation	53
Remediation Plan	53

4.16 (HAL-16) DOMAINSEPARATOR CANNOT BE REGENERATED - INFORMATIONAL(1.7)	54
Description	54
Code Location	54
BVSS	55
Recommendation	55
Remediation Plan	55
4.17 (HAL-17) CHECKS-EFFECTS-INTERACTIONS PATTERN IS NOT FOLLOWED IN DEPOSITFOR AND WITHDRAW - INFORMATIONAL(1.7)	56
Description	56
Code Location	56
BVSS	57
Recommendation	57
Remediation Plan	57
4.18 (HAL-18) LACK OF EMERGENCY STOP PATTERN IMPLEMENTATION - INFORMATIONAL(1.7)	58
Description	58
BVSS	58
Recommendation	58
Remediation Plan	58
4.19 (HAL-19) LACK OF ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL(1.7)	59
Description	59
Code Location	59
BVSS	60
Recommendation	60
Remediation Plan	60
4.20 (HAL-20) REDUNDANT LOCK CHECK IN DEPOSIT - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	61

Description	61
Code Location	61
BVSS	62
Recommendation	62
Remediation Plan	62
4.21 (HAL-21) HARDCODED CONFIGURATION AND ADDRESSES - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	63
Description	63
Code Location	63
BVSS	64
Recommendation	64
Remediation Plan	64
4.22 (HAL-22) UNUSED CODE - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	65
Description	65
BVSS	65
Recommendation	65
Remediation Plan	65
5 AUTOMATED TESTING	66
5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	67
Description	67
Results	67
Results Summary	123

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE
0.1	Document Creation	01/15/2024
0.2	Document Update	02/12/2024
0.3	Draft Review	02/12/2024
0.4	Draft Review	02/12/2024
1.0	Remediation Plan	03/04/2024
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	03/11/2024
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	03/12/2024

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com



EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The protocol manages the distribution of rewards obtained by omnipools.

Opal Finance engaged [Halborn](#) to conduct a security assessment on their smart contracts beginning on January 08th, 2024 and ending on February 12th, 2024. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided in the [OpalProtocol/contracts](#) GitHub repository. Commit hashes and further details can be found in the Scope section of this report.

1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Halborn was provided 6 weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to review the security of the smart contracts in scope. The security team consists of a blockchain and smart contract security experts with advanced penetration testing and smart contract hacking skills, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of the assessment is to:

- Identify potential security issues within the smart contracts.
- Ensure that smart contract functionality operates as intended.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks, that were mostly addressed by Opal Finance. The main ones were the following:

- Restrict the `approve()` and `swapForGem()` functions of the `Omnipool` contract to the `RewardManager`.
- Set the transaction lock in the `depositFor()` function of the `Omnipool` contract for the recipient, not the function caller.
- Fix the `getUSDPrice()` function of the `BPTOracle` to handle tokens with non-standard token decimals, and do not assume the price feed precision.
- Fix the usage of the continuous statement in the for loops to prevent infinite execution.
- Fix the signature validation in the `permit()` function of the `LiquidityGauge` contract.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this assessment. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow the security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used during the assessment:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions ([solgraph](#)).
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts.
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions ([Slither](#)).
- Testnet deployment ([Foundry](#), [Brownie](#)).

2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets of Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two **Metric sets** are: **Exploitability** and **Impact**. **Exploitability** captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and **Impact** describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

Metrics:

Exploitability Metric (m_E)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
Attack Cost (AC)	Low (AC:L)	1
	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
Attack Complexity (AX)	Low (AX:L)	1
	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability E is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_e$$

2.2 IMPACT

Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

Metrics:

Impact Metric (m_I)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Confidentiality (C)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Integrity (I)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Availability (A)	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
Deposit (D)	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
Yield (Y)	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact I is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = \max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - \max(m_I)}{4}$$

2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient (C)	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
Reversibility (r)	None (R:N)	1
	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scope (s)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient C is obtained by the following product:

$$C = rs$$

The Vulnerability Severity Score S is obtained by:

$$S = \min(10, EIC * 10)$$

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

2.4 SCOPE

Code repositories:

1. Opal Contracts

- Repository: [OpalProtocol/contracts](#)
- Commit ID : [3109328ed9bb647e98de08beb5999f464702aba5](#)
- Smart contracts in scope:
 - [src/pools/BPTOracle.sol](#)
 - [src/pools/Omnipool.sol](#)
 - [src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol](#)
 - [src/pools/OpalLpToken.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/Minter.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol](#)
 - [src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol](#)
 - [src/RewardManager.sol](#)
- Last remediation commit ID: [e710f6cd208da85853fc1de877a8627fe5bd81bf](#)

Out-of-scope

- Third-party libraries and dependencies.
- Economic attacks.
- New features/implementations after/within the [3109328](#) & [e710f6c](#) commit IDs.

3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
5	1	5	4	7

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDATION DATE
(HAL-01) ERC20 TOKENS CAN BE DRAINED FROM OMNIPOL	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 02/09/2024
(HAL-02) LACK OF AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN SWAPFORGEM	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 02/08/2024
(HAL-03) WITHDRAWAL DELAY CAN BYPASSED	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 02/07/2024
(HAL-04) GETUSDPRICE INCORRECTLY HANDLES TOKEN DECIMALS	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 02/25/2024
(HAL-05) IMPROPER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MINIMAL PROXY STANDARD	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 02/09/2024
(HAL-06) IMPROPER LOOP IMPLEMENTATIONS	High (7.5)	SOLVED - 02/09/2024
(HAL-07) LACK OF SIGNATURE VALIDATION	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 02/09/2024
(HAL-08) OPALLPTOKEN DECIMALS ARE NOT SET CORRECTLY	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 02/07/2024
(HAL-09) LACK OF STALENESS CHECK IN GETUSDPRICE	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 02/25/2024
(HAL-10) APPROVE IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH NON-STANDARD ERC20 TOKENS	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 02/05/2024
(HAL-11) USING TRANSFER INSTEAD OF SAFETRANSFER	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 02/05/2024
(HAL-12) PRICE FEED PRECISION IS ASSUMED IN GETUSDPRICE	Low (3.4)	SOLVED - 03/04/2024
(HAL-13) IMPROPER HANDLEDEPEGGEDPOOL IMPLEMENTATION	Low (3.4)	SOLVED - 03/04/2024
(HAL-14) PRICE FEED ORACLE ADDRESS CANNOT BE UPDATED	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 03/04/2024
(HAL-15) MINUNDERLYINGRECEIVED INCLUDES THE FEES IN OMNIPOL	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 02/11/2024
(HAL-16) DOMAINSEPARATOR CANNOT BE REGENERATED	Informational (1.7)	SOLVED - 02/09/2024

(HAL-17) CHECKS-EFFECTS-INTERACTIONS PATTERN IS NOT FOLLOWED IN DEPOSITFOR AND WITHDRAW	Informational (1.7)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-18) LACK OF EMERGENCY STOP PATTERN IMPLEMENTATION	Informational (1.7)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-19) LACK OF ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS	Informational (1.7)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-20) REDUNDANT LOCK CHECK IN DEPOSIT	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 02/07/2024
(HAL-21) HARDCODED CONFIGURATION AND ADDRESSES	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 03/04/2024
(HAL-22) UNUSED CODE	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 02/24/2024



FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

4.1 (HAL-01) ERC20 TOKENS CAN BE DRAINED FROM OMNIPPOOL - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

This `approve` function of the `Omnipool` contract is used by the `RewardManager` to withdraw the reward tokens from the contract and distribute them to the users. However, it was identified that the function lacks any authorization check. By calling this function, anyone can withdraw the LP and reward tokens from the contract.

Code Location:

Listing 1: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol`

```
763     function approve(address addr, address token, uint256 amount)
    ↳ external {
764         // Transfer the rewards to the user
765         IERC20 erc20 = IERC20(token);
766         erc20.approve(addr, amount);
767     }
```

Proof of Concept:

1. Users deposit funds into the pool.
2. Bob calls the Ompool's approve function and authorizes himself to transfer out the LP tokens from the contract.
3. Bob transfers out the LP tokens from the contract.

```

[0] VM::startPrank(bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8])
├── ( )
├── [271] Ompool::getLpToken() [staticcall]
│   └── OpalLpToken: [0xCec70b8a9872e431D0870b120166e25c5094101B]
├── [2607] OpalLpToken::balanceOf(Ompool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372]) [staticcall]
│   └── 0
├── [25724] Ompool::approve(bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8], OpalLpToken: [0xCec70b8a9872e431D0870b120166e25c5094101B], 115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007913129639935 [1.157e77])
│   ├── [24739] OpalLpToken::approve(bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8], 11579208923731619542357098500868790785326998466564039457584007913129639935 [1.157e77])
│   │   ├── emit Approval(owner: Ompool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372], spender: bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8], value: 115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007913129639935 [1.157e77])
│   │   │   ├── true
│   │   │   └── ( )
│   │   └── [5723] OpalLpToken::transferFrom(Ompool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372], bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8], 0)
│   │       ├── emit Transfer(from: Ompool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372], to: bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8], value: 0)
│   │       └── true
└── ( )

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to restrict the `approve()` function to the `RewardManager` contract.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance` team solved the issue in commit `ab517b0` by restricting the function to the reward manager contract.

4.2 (HAL-02) LACK OF AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN SWAPFORGEM – CRITICAL(10)

Description:

The `RewardManager` claims the extra rewards from the `Omnipool` contract by swapping the underlying reward tokens to GEM tokens. However, it was identified that the `swapForGem` function in the `Omnipool` contract lacks any authorization check. By calling this function, any caller can swap the tokens (e.g., LP pool tokens) stored in the contract to GEM reward tokens. This results in burning the LP tokens of the `Omnipool` resulting in loss of funds. The large swap can also be exploited using a sandwich attack to create profit for the attacker.

Code Location:

The `swapForGem()` function lacks authorization:

Listing 2: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol`

```
793     function swapForGem(address _token, uint256 _amountIn)
    ↳ external returns (bool) {
794         bytes32 poolId = extraRewardPools[_token];
795         if (poolId == bytes32(0) || wethToGemPoolId == bytes32(0))
    ↳ {
796             return false;
797         }
798
799         IERC20 erc20Token = IERC20(_token);
800         erc20Token.approve(address(balancerVault), _amountIn);
```

Proof of Concept:

1. Users deposit funds into the pool.
2. Bob calls the `swapForGem` function to swap the LP tokens to GEM reward tokens.
3. Bob sandwiches the swap operation and realizes a considerable profit.

```

[0] VM::startPrank(bob: [0x32E77DE0D74a5C7AF861aAEd324c6a4c488142a8])
└─ ( )
[271] Omnipool::getLpToken() [staticcall]
└─ OpalLpToken: [0xCec70b8a9872e431D0870b120166e25c5094101B]
[2607] OpalLpToken::balanceOf(Omnipool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372]) [staticcall]
└─ 0
[2731] Omnipool::swapForGem(OpalLpToken: [0xCec70b8a9872e431D0870b120166e25c5094101B], 0)
└─ false
[0] console::log(-----) [staticcall]
└─ ( )

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to restrict the `swapForGem()` function to the `RewardManager` contract.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `c6e26f9` by restricting the function to the reward manager contract.

4.3 (HAL-03) WITHDRAWAL DELAY CAN BYPASSED - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

Users can't deposit and withdraw in the same block in the `Omnipool` contract. However, it was identified that this restriction could be bypassed by depositing from another user to the recipient address with the `depositFor()` function and then withdrawing from the recipient in the same block. This allows users to generate rewards in the same block and potentially drain the rewards from the protocol.

Code Location:

The withdrawal lock is only checked and updated for the `msg.sender`:

Listing 3: src/pools/Omnipool.sol

```
226     function depositFor(uint256 _amountIn, address _depositFor,
    ↳ uint256 _minLpReceived) public {
227         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
228             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
229         }
230
231         uint256 underlyingPrice = bptOracle.getUSDPrice(address(
    ↳ underlyingToken));
```

Listing 4: src/pools/Omnipool.sol (Line 267)

```
260         _handleRebalancingRewards(
261             msg.sender,
262             beforeTotalUnderlying,
263             afterTotalUnderlying,
264             beforeAllocatedPerPool,
265             afterAllocatedPerPool
266         );
267         lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] = block.number;
268     }
```

Listing 5: src/pools/Omnipool.sol

```

346     function withdraw(uint256 _amountOut, uint256
↳  _minUnderlyingReceived) external override {
347         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
348             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
349         }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. The `depositFor()` function is used to deposit funds for Bob from different addresses (e.g., using transaction batching or a smart contract).
2. The `lastTransactionBlock` is not updated for Bob.
3. Bob can withdraw in the same transaction to perform a sandwich attack.

```

pool.depositFor(1000e6, bob, 1) - called by alice
lastTransactionBlock[bob]: 0

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to set the transaction lock in the `depositFor()` function for the recipient, not the `msg.sender`.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `289ccaa` by setting the lock for the recipient.

4.4 (HAL-04) GETUSDPRICE INCORRECTLY HANDLES TOKEN DECIMALS - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

The `getUSDPrice` function of the `BPTOracle` contract returns the USD price of the parameter token with 18 decimals precision. However, it was identified that the function could not handle tokens with different decimals than 6 or 18, resulting in incorrect price data in those cases.

Code Location:

Listing 6: `src/pools/BPTOracle.sol` (Lines 217-219)

```
211     function getUSDPrice(address token) public view returns (
    ↳ uint256 priceInUSD) {
212         uint256 decimals = ERC20(token).decimals();
213
214         AggregatorV3Interface priceFeed = IPriceFeed(
    ↳ priceFeedAddress).getPriceFeedFromAsset(token);
215         if (address(priceFeed) == address(0)) revert
    ↳ PriceFeedNotFound();
216         (, int256 priceInUSDInt,,, ) = priceFeed.latestRoundData();
217         if (decimals < 18) {
218             return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 10 ** (18 - decimals -
    ↳ 2);
219         }
220         return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 1e10;
221     }
```


4.5 (HAL-05) IMPROPER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MINIMAL PROXY STANDARD - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

It was identified that the `LiquidityGauge` contract improperly utilized the EIP-1167: Minimal Proxy Standard, as it sets the values of non-immutable state variables in its constructor. These values are not copied into the clones, and therefore, the `LiquidityGauge` deployed by the factory will have uninitialized state variables that cannot be changed later.

Code Location:

The `registryContract` and `registryAccess` state variables are not immutable:

Listing 7: `src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol`

```
52     IRegistryContract public registryContract;
53     IRegistryAccess  public registryAccess;
```

However, they are initialized in the `constructor()`:

Listing 8: `src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol` (Lines 140-141)

```
131     constructor(
132         address _minter,
133         address _minterEscrow,
134         address _vlToken,
135         address _registryContract
136     ) {
137         MINTER = _minter;
138         MINTER_ESCROW = _minterEscrow;
139         VL_TOKEN = _vlToken;
140         registryContract = IRegistryContract(_registryContract);
141         registryAccess = IRegistryAccess(registryContract.
142         ↪ getContract(CONTRACT_REGISTRY_ACCESS));
```

```

143     GAUGE_CONTROLLER = registryContract.getContract(
    ↳ CONTRACT_GAUGE_CONTROLLER);
144
145     lpToken = address(0);
146 }

```

Proof of Concept:

```

TEST LIQUIDITYGAUGE FACTORY DEPLOYMENT:
registryContract: 0x2e234DAe75C793f67A35089C9d99245E1C58470b
registryAccess: 0x5615dEB798BB3E4dFa0139dFa1b3D433Cc23b72f
LP token: 0x03A6a84cD762D9707A21605b548aaaB891562aAb
LiquidityGauge deployed by factory:
MINTER: 0xF62849F9A0B5Bf2913b396098F7c7019b51A820a
MINTER_ESCROW: 0x5991A2dF15A8F6A256D3Ec51E99254Cd3fb576A9
registryContract: 0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
registryAccess: 0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
LP token: 0x03A6a84cD762D9707A21605b548aaaB891562aAb

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:C/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to only initialize immutable state variables in the contractor.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [7a52757](#) by adding the immutable modifier to the state variables.

4.6 (HAL-06) IMPROPER LOOP IMPLEMENTATIONS - HIGH (7.5)

Description:

It was identified that the following functions do not always increase the loop counter before continuing to the next cycle. If the condition having the `continue` statement executes, the loop cycle is repeated forever, and the functions will eventually revert after exhausting all gas. This can cause the protocol to enter a denial of service state because these functions are used in several places in the protocol, and the contracts cannot be upgraded.

```
src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol
```

```
- claimAll()
```

```
src/tokenomics/Minter.sol
```

```
- mintMultiple()
```

```
src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol
```

```
- totalSupplyAtEpoch()
```

```
- getReward()
```

Code Location:

The following is an example from the `VoteLocker` contract. If the condition is met, the loop will never end, and the function will eventually revert:

Listing 9: `src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol` (Line 952)

```
941     function totalSupplyAtEpoch(uint256 _epoch) public view
942     ↪ returns (uint256 supply) {
943         uint256 epochStart = uint256(_epochs[0].date).add(uint256(
944     ↪ _epoch).mul(rewardsDuration));
945         if (epochStart >= block.timestamp) revert FutureEpoch();
946     Fix t
947         uint256 cutoffEpoch = epochStart.sub(lockDuration);
948         uint256 lastIndex = _epochs.length - 1;
949     }
```

```
948     uint256 epochIndex = _epoch > lastIndex ? lastIndex :
↳  _epoch;
949
950     for (uint256 i = epochIndex + 1; i > 0;) {
951         Epoch memory e = _epochs[i - 1];
952         if (e.date == epochStart) {
953             continue;
954         } else if (e.date <= cutoffEpoch) {
955             break;
956         } else {
957             supply += e.supply;
958         }
959         unchecked {
960             --i;
961         }
962     }
963 }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:H/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (7.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to increase the loop counter before continuing to the next cycle.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [7a52757](#).

4.7 (HAL-07) LACK OF SIGNATURE VALIDATION - MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

It was identified that the `permit()` function of the `LiquidityGauge` contract improperly utilizes the `isValidSignatureNow()` function. Instead of the hash and signature, the `domainSeparator` and the `structHash` values are passed to this function. This results in failing the signature check every time. It is also noted that the `permit()` function has no signature parameter.

Code Location:

The `signature` based validation is implemented improperly in the `permit()` function:

Listing 10: `src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol` (Line 323)

```
311     function permit(address owner, address spender, uint256 value,
    ↳ uint256 deadline)
312         public
313         virtual
314     {
315         if (owner == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
316         if (block.timestamp > deadline) revert SignatureExpired();
317
318         uint256 userNonce = nonces[owner];
319         bytes memory structHash = abi.encode(
320             keccak256(abi.encode(PERMIT_TYPEHASH, owner, spender,
    ↳ value, userNonce, deadline))
321         );
322
323         bool signerOkay = SignatureChecker.isValidSignatureNow(
    ↳ owner, domainSeparator, structHash);
324
325         // bytes32 _hash = ECDSA.recover(domainSeparator,
    ↳ structHash);
326
327         if (!signerOkay) revert SignatureInvalid();
```

```

328
329     allowance[owner][spender] = value;
330     nonces[owner]++;
331 }

```

The `isValidSignatureNow()` function has different parameterization:

Listing 11: `lib/opezeppelin-contracts/contracts/utils/cryptography/SignatureChecke`

```

22     function isValidSignatureNow(address signer, bytes32 hash,
↳ bytes memory signature) internal view returns (bool) {
23         (address recovered, ECDSA.RecoverError error, ) = ECDSA.
↳ tryRecover(hash, signature);
24         return
25             (error == ECDSA.RecoverError.NoError && recovered ==
↳ signer) ||
26             isValidERC1271SignatureNow(signer, hash, signature);
27     }

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:M/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add a signature validation to the `permit()` function.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `720a833`.

4.8 (HAL-08) OPALLPTOKEN DECIMALS ARE NOT SET CORRECTLY - MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

It was identified that configuring the decimals in the `constructor` of the `OpalLpToken` contract is not working, and all tokens will have 18 decimals. This might result in calculation errors, as the underlying assets of the pools can have different decimals.

Code Location:

The decimals are configured in the `constructor`:

Listing 12: `src/pools/OpalLpToken.sol` (Line 45)

```
36     constructor(  
37         address _registryContract,  
38         uint8 _decimals,  
39         string memory name,  
40         string memory symbol  
41     ) ERC20(name, symbol) {  
42         registryContract = IRegistryContract(_registryContract);  
43         registryAccess = IRegistryAccess(registryContract.  
↳ getContract(CONTRACT_REGISTRY_ACCESS));  
44  
45         __decimals = _decimals;  
46     }
```

Proof of Concept:

```
Test Opal Lp token's decimals:  
opalLpToken = new OpalLpToken(registryContract, 8, "Test", "Test" )  
opalLpToken.decimals(): 18
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:M/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to fix the `OpalLpToken` contract by overriding the `decimals()` function to correctly show the configured value.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `289ccaa`.

4.9 (HAL-09) LACK OF STALENESS CHECK IN GETUSDPRICE – MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

The `getUSDPrice` function of the `BPTOracle` contract returns the USD price of the parameter `token`. However, it was identified that the function does not check whether the received data is out of date and valid.

Code Location:

The `priceFeed` does not check whether the received data is out of date and valid:

Listing 13: `src/pools/BPTOracle.sol` (Line 216)

```

211     function getUSDPrice(address token) public view returns (
    ↳ uint256 priceInUSD) {
212         uint256 decimals = ERC20(token).decimals();
213
214         AggregatorV3Interface priceFeed = IPriceFeed(
    ↳ priceFeedAddress).getPriceFeedFromAsset(token);
215         if (address(priceFeed) == address(0)) revert
    ↳ PriceFeedNotFound();
216         (, int256 priceInUSDInt,,, ) = priceFeed.latestRoundData();
217         if (decimals < 18) {
218             return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 10 ** (18 - decimals -
    ↳ 2);
219         }
220         return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 1e10;
221     }

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to reject prices older than the threshold corresponding to the heartbeat of the price feed.

The staleness threshold should correspond to the heartbeat of the oracle's price feed.

On L2 chains like Arbitrum, it is also recommended to check whether the L2 Sequencer is down to avoid stale pricing data that appears fresh.

References:

[Check the timestamp of the latest answer](#)

[L2 Sequencer Uptime Feeds](#)

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [e710f6c](#).

4.10 (HAL-10) APPROVE IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH NON-STANDARD ERC20 TOKENS - MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

Some tokens do not correctly implement the EIP20 standard, and their `approve` function returns void instead of a `success` boolean. Calling these functions with the correct EIP20 function signatures will always revert. Tokens that do not correctly implement the latest EIP20 spec, like `USDT` on Ethereum, will be unusable in the mentioned contracts as they revert the transaction because of the missing return value. Some tokens also require that the allowance be set to `0` before issuing a new `approve` call. Calling the `approve` function when the allowance is not zero reverts the transaction with these types of tokens.

Code Location:

Example usage of the `approve` function in the protocol:

Listing 14: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol` (Line 233)

```

226     function depositFor(uint256 _amountIn, address _depositFor,
    ↳ uint256 _minLpReceived) public {
227         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
228             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
229         }
230
231         uint256 underlyingPrice = bptOracle.getUSDPrice(address(
    ↳ underlyingToken));
232
233         underlyingToken.approve(address(
    ↳ auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper), _amountIn);
234
235         (
236             uint256 beforeTotalUnderlying,
237             uint256 beforeAllocatedBalance,
238             uint256[] memory beforeAllocatedPerPool

```

```
239         ) = _getTotalAndPerPoolUnderlying(underlyingPrice);
```

Proof of Concept:

```
├─ [24953] 0xdAC17F958D2ee523a2206206994597C13D831ec7::approve(Omnipool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A3ab2d87Eacb7372],
1000000000 [1e10])
├─ emit Approval(owner: 0xDA9CE944a37d218c3302F6B82a094844C6ECEb17, spender: Omnipool: [0x6B950684E884e20ef4d61cb5A
3ab2d87Eacb7372], value: 1000000000 [1e10])
├─ - ()
└─ - "EvmError: Revert"
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:H/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 and the `forceApprove()` function to also handle non-standard-compliant tokens.

References:

[OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20](#)

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [6cba12e](#) by using OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 and the `forceApprove()` function.

4.11 (HAL-11) USING TRANSFER INSTEAD OF SAFETRANSFER – MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

It was identified that several functions in the contracts use the `IERC20Metadata` and `IERC20` interfaces to interact with tokens. However, the interface expects the `transfer` function to have a return value on success. It is important to note that the transfer functions of some tokens (e.g., USDT, BNB) do not return any values, so these tokens are incompatible with the current version of the contracts.

Code Location:

Example usage of the `transferFrom` function in the protocol:

Listing 15: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol` (Line 244)

```
226     function depositFor(uint256 _amountIn, address _depositFor,
    ↳ uint256 _minLpReceived) public {
227         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
228             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
229         }
230
231         uint256 underlyingPrice = bptOracle.getUSDPrice(address(
    ↳ underlyingToken));
232
233         underlyingToken.approve(address(
    ↳ auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper), _amountIn);
234
235         (
236             uint256 beforeTotalUnderlying,
237             uint256 beforeAllocatedBalance,
238             uint256[] memory beforeAllocatedPerPool
239         ) = _getTotalAndPerPoolUnderlying(underlyingPrice);
240
241         uint256 exchangeRate = _exchangeRate(beforeTotalUnderlying
    ↳ );
242
243         // Transfer underlying token to this contract
```

```
244     underlyingToken.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),
    ↳ _amountIn);
245
246     _depositToAura(beforeAllocatedBalance,
    ↳ beforeAllocatedPerPool, _amountIn);
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:H/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use OpenZeppelin's [SafeERC20](#) wrapper with the [IERC20](#) and [IERC20Metadata](#) interfaces to make the contracts compatible with currencies that return no value.

References:

[OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20](#)

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [6cba12e5](#) by using OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 wrapper.

4.12 (HAL-12) PRICE FEED PRECISION IS ASSUMED IN GETUSDPRICE - LOW (3.4)

Description:

The `getUSDPrice` function of the `BPTOracle` contract returns the USD price of the parameter token with 18 decimals precision. However, it was identified that the function assumes that the price value returned by the price feed always has 8 decimals. This is not necessarily true for all assets. For example, ETH pairs usually have 18 decimals. Some other pairs, like `AMPL/USD`, also have 18 decimals.

Code Location:

The `getUSDPrice` function assumes that the price value returned by the price feed always has 8 decimals:

Listing 16: `src/pools/BPTOracle.sol` (Line 216)

```
211     function getUSDPrice(address token) public view returns (
    ↳ uint256 priceInUSD) {
212         uint256 decimals = ERC20(token).decimals();
213
214         AggregatorV3Interface priceFeed = IPriceFeed(
    ↳ priceFeedAddress).getPriceFeedFromAsset(token);
215         if (address(priceFeed) == address(0)) revert
    ↳ PriceFeedNotFound();
216         (, int256 priceInUSDInt,,, ) = priceFeed.latestRoundData();
217         if (decimals < 18) {
218             return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 10 ** (18 - decimals -
    ↳ 2);
219         }
220         return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 1e10;
221     }
```

Proof of Concept:

AMPL/USD price feed information from the Chainlink documentation:

Ethereum Mainnet

Data Feed Categories ▼

Q
AMPL / USD

 Show more details

Pair	Deviation	Heartbeat	Dec	Address and info
● AMPL / USD	1000%	172800s	18	<div style="font-size: 0.8em; margin-bottom: 5px;"> 0xe20CA8D7546932360e37E9D72c1a47334af57706 </div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Asset name: Ampleforth </div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Asset type: Crypto </div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em;"> Market hours: Crypto </div>

Prev

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Next

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.4)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to query the price feed in the `getUSDPrice` function of the `BPT0racle` contract to get the exact number of decimals for the price value and adjust it if it is necessary.

References:

[Price Feed Contract Addresses](#)

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue by implementing suggestion.

4.13 (HAL-13) IMPROPER HANDLEDEPEGGEDPOOL IMPLEMENTATION – LOW (3.4)

Description:

The `updateDepegThreshold()` function in the `Omnipool` contract is supposed to allow the Opal team to configure the depeg threshold. However, it was identified that the `depegThreshold` state variable is unused in the contract, and therefore, this feature is not working.

It was also identified that the `lpTokenPerPool` mapping used in the `handleDepeggedPool()` function of the `Omnipool` contract is never initialized. This results in always passing the following check related to the status of the LP token.

Code Location:

The `depegThreshold` state variable is unused in the contract:

```
{language="solidity" caption="src/pools/Omnipool.sol" firstnumber="631"}
function updateDepegThreshold(uint256 newDepegThreshold)external
onlyOpalTeam { if (newDepegThreshold > _MAX_DEPEG_THRESHOLD){ revert
InvalidThreshold(); } if (newDepegThreshold < _MIN_DEPEG_THRESHOLD){
revert InvalidThreshold(); } depegThreshold = newDepegThreshold; emit
DepegThresholdUpdated(newDepegThreshold); }
```

The `getStatus()` function returns 0 because the `lpTokenPerPool` mapping is never initialized:

Listing 17: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol` (Lines 928-933)

```
915     function handleDepeggedPool(address pool_) external {
916         // Validation
917         if (!_validatePool(pool_)) {
918             revert PoolNotFound();
919         }
```

```
920     UnderlyingPool memory pool = getPoolByAddress(pool_);
921     if (pool.targetWeight == 0) {
922         return;
923     }
924     // != oracle.OracleStatus.oracleWorking
925     if (oracle.getStatus(address(underlyingToken)) != 0) {
926         return;
927     }
928     address lpToken_ = lpTokenPerPool[pool.poolAddress];
929
930     // != oracle.OracleStatus.oracleWorking
931     if (oracle.getStatus(lpToken_) != 0) {
932         return;
933     }
934
935     // Set target pool weight to 0
936     // Scale up other weights to compensate
937     _setWeightToZero(pool_);
938     rebalancingRewardActive = true;
939
940     emit HandledDepeggedPool(pool_);
941 }
```

BVSS:**A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:M/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.4)****Recommendation:**

It is recommended that the correctness of the depeg handle functions be reviewed.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The **Opal Finance team** made a business decision to accept the risk of this finding. The depeg handle functionality was removed from the contract as deemed unnecessary.

4.14 (HAL-14) PRICE FEED ORACLE ADDRESS CANNOT BE UPDATED – LOW (2.5)

Description:

The `getUSDPrice` function of the `BPTOracle` contract returns the USD price of the parameter token. The function is used in various places in the protocol. However, it was identified that the address of the price feed cannot be changed. If the price feed stops working, all the related functions will revert.

Code Location:

The `priceFeed` cannot be updated:

Listing 18: `src/pools/BPTOracle.sol` (Line 216)

```

211     function getUSDPrice(address token) public view returns (
    ↳ uint256 priceInUSD) {
212         uint256 decimals = ERC20(token).decimals();
213
214         AggregatorV3Interface priceFeed = IPriceFeed(
    ↳ priceFeedAddress).getPriceFeedFromAsset(token);
215         if (address(priceFeed) == address(0)) revert
    ↳ PriceFeedNotFound();
216         (, int256 priceInUSDInt,,, ) = priceFeed.latestRoundData();
217         if (decimals < 18) {
218             return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 10 ** (18 - decimals -
    ↳ 2);
219         }
220         return uint256(priceInUSDInt) * 1e10;
221     }

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add functionality to enable the Opal Team to update the price feed of the BPTOracle contract.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The Opal Finance team solved the issue by allowing edit price feed.

4.15 (HAL-15) MINUNDERLYINGRECEIVED INCLUDES THE FEES IN OMNIPPOOL - LOW (2.5)

Description:

It was identified that the `withdraw()` function in the `Omnipool` contract checks the `_minUnderlyingReceived` value against the transferred amount before deducting the 5% fee. This may result in the user receiving fewer tokens than specified in the parameter.

Code Location:

Listing 19: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol` (Lines 369-371,378-381)

```
368     uint256 underlyingWithdrawn_ = _min(
  ↳ underlyingBalanceAfter_, underlyingToReceive_);
369     if (underlyingWithdrawn_ < _minUnderlyingReceived) {
370         revert TooMuchSlippage();
371     }
372     lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] = block.number;
373     lpToken.burn(msg.sender, _amountOut);
374     totalDeposited -= underlyingWithdrawn_;
375
376     // Transfer 5% of the withdrew amount to the treasury
377     uint256 underlyingFees = underlyingWithdrawn_ * 5 / 100;
378     underlyingWithdrawn_ -= underlyingFees;
379     underlyingToken.transfer(OPAL_TREASURY, underlyingFees);
380     underlyingToken.transfer(msg.sender, underlyingWithdrawn_)
  ↳ ;
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to deduct the fee from the transferred amount before comparing it to the `_minUnderlyingReceived` value.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `ea01c2d`.

4.16 (HAL-16) DOMAINSEPARATOR CANNOT BE REGENERATED – INFORMATIONAL (1.7)

Description:

It was identified that the `domainSeparator` is generated and cached in the `initialize()` function of the `LiquidityGauge` contract, and it is not possible to change it later if the chain is forked. This allows an attacker to reuse the valid signatures of the `permit` function on both chains to transfer tokens.

Code Location:

The `domainSeparator` is initialized in the `initialize()` function and cannot be changed later:

Listing 20: `src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol` (Line 163)

```
152     function initialize(address _lpToken) external {
153         if (lpToken != address(0)) revert CannotInitialize();
154
155         lpToken = _lpToken;
156         factory = msg.sender;
157
158         string memory _symbol = IERC20Metadata(_lpToken).symbol();
159         string memory _name = string(abi.encodePacked("Opal ",
160     ↪ _symbol, " Gauge Deposit"));
161         name = _name;
162         symbol = string(abi.encodePacked(_symbol, "-Gauge"));
163         domainSeparator =
164     ↪ keccak256(abi.encode(EIP712_TYPEHASH, name, VERSION,
165     ↪ block.chainid, address(this)));
166         integrateInvSupply.push(0);
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:H/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (1.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to check the chain ID and regenerate the domain separator if it has changed.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Opal Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [7a52757](#).

4.17 (HAL-17) CHECKS-EFFECTS-INTERACTIONS PATTERN IS NOT FOLLOWED IN DEPOSITFOR AND WITHDRAW – INFORMATIONAL (1.7)

Description:

It was identified that the `depositFor` and `withdraw` functions in the `Omnipool` contract do not follow the checks-effects-interactions pattern to prevent any reentrancy vulnerabilities in the functions.

Code Location:

For example, in the `depositFor()` function, the check is performed in the beginning, but the `lastTransactionBlock` state variable is only updated at the end:

Listing 21: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol` (Lines 227-229)

```
226     function depositFor(uint256 _amountIn, address _depositFor,
↳ uint256 _minLpReceived) public {
227         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
228             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
229         }
230
231         uint256 underlyingPrice = bptOracle.getUSDPrice(address(
↳ underlyingToken));
232
233         underlyingToken.approve(address(
↳ auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper), _amountIn);
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (1.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to update the user's `lastTransactionBlock` just after the check.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The `Opal Finance team` made a business decision to acknowledge this finding and not alter the contracts.

4.18 (HAL-18) LACK OF EMERGENCY STOP PATTERN IMPLEMENTATION - INFORMATIONAL (1.7)

Description:

It was identified that the `OpalLpToken`, `LiquidityGauge`, `EscrowedToken` contracts do not implement any kind of emergency stop pattern. Such a pattern allows the project team to pause crucial functionalities, while being in the state of emergency, e.g., being under adversary attack. The most prevalent application of the emergency stop pattern is the `Pausable` contract from the `OpenZeppelin's` library that.

In the case the `emergency stop` pattern is not used, critical functions cannot be temporarily disabled.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (1.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use the emergency stop pattern in the contracts.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The `Opal Finance team` made a business decision to acknowledge this finding and not alter the contracts.

4.19 (HAL-19) LACK OF ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL (1.7)

Description:

It was identified that several parameters in the contracts lack zero address validation.

Code Location:

For example, the `LiquidityGauge` contract lacks zero address validation in its `constructor`:

Listing 22: `src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol`

```
131     constructor(  
132         address _minter,  
133         address _minterEscrow,  
134         address _vlToken,  
135         address _registryContract  
136     ) {  
137         MINTER = _minter;  
138         MINTER_ESCROW = _minterEscrow;  
139         VL_TOKEN = _vlToken;  
140         registryContract = IRegistryContract(_registryContract);  
141         registryAccess = IRegistryAccess(registryContract.  
142             ↳ getContract(CONTRACT_REGISTRY_ACCESS));  
143         GAUGE_CONTROLLER = registryContract.getContract(  
144             ↳ CONTRACT_GAUGE_CONTROLLER);  
145         lpToken = address(0);  
146     }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:H/C:N/I:N/A:M/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (1.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add zero address validation for the address parameters in constructors, initializers and setter functions.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The **Opal Finance team** made a business decision to acknowledge this finding and not alter the contracts.

4.20 (HAL-20) REDUNDANT LOCK CHECK IN DEPOSIT - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

It was identified that the lock check in the `deposit()` function of the `Omnipool` contract is redundant, as the check is executed again in the `depositFor()` function.

Code Location:

Redundant lock check in the `deposit()` function:

Listing 23: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol`

```
334     function deposit(uint256 _amountIn, uint256 _minLpReceived)
    ↳ external {
335         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
336             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
337         }
338         depositFor(_amountIn, msg.sender, _minLpReceived);
339     }
```

Listing 24: `src/pools/Omnipool.sol`

```
226     function depositFor(uint256 _amountIn, address _depositFor,
    ↳ uint256 _minLpReceived) public {
227         if (lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number) {
228             revert CantDepositAndWithdrawSameBlock();
229         }
230
231         uint256 underlyingPrice = bptOracle.getUSDPrice(address(
    ↳ underlyingToken));
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

Recommendation:

Consider removing the redundant lock check from the `deposit()` function.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commit `289ccaa`.

4.21 (HAL-21) HARDCODED CONFIGURATION AND ADDRESSES – INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

It was identified that the contracts contain hardcoded configurations and addresses. Because the contracts are not upgradable, the Opal team will not be able to change them in the future. For example, if the address of the Opal treasury is compromised or changed, the team cannot update its address.

Code Location:

For example, the following hardcoded constants are used in different places in the protocol:

Listing 25: src/utils/constants.sol

```
50     address constant EMERGENCY_MINTER = 0
↳ x1234567890123456789012345678901234567890;
51     address constant WETH_ARBITRUM = 0
↳ x82aF49447D8a07e3bd95BD0d56f35241523fBab1;
52     address constant ADMIN_ADDRESS = 0
↳ x1234567890123456789012345678901234567890;
53     address constant INCENTIVES_MS = 0
↳ x1234567890123456789012345678901234561234;
54     address constant BALANCER_VAULT = 0
↳ xBA1222222228d8Ba445958a75a0704d566BF2C8;
55     address constant AURA_DEPOSIT_VAULT = 0
↳ x49e998899FF11598182918098588E8b90d7f60D3;
56     address constant OPAL_TREASURY = 0
↳ x1234567890123456789012345678901234561234;
```


BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the smart contracts be reviewed and functions added to enable modifying settings that may need to be changed in the future.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The **Opal Finance team** solved the issue by implementing a registry.

Commit ID : [a22b3e0205afb38438d0dcc203fda3eea71adf98](#)

4.22 (HAL-22) UNUSED CODE - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

Several unused state variables and functions were identified in the protocol:

- It was identified that the `registryAccess` and `REWARD_TOKENS_LENGTH` state variables and the `onlyOpalTeam()` modifier are not used in the `RewardManager` contract, as they have no function requiring authorization.
- It was identified that the `usdcAddress` state variable is not used in the `BPTOracle` contract.
- It was identified that the `lastWeightUpdate` state variable is never initialized, and therefore the `getLastWeightUpdate()` function cannot be used in the `OmnipoolController` contract.

The unutilized state variables and functions increase the gas cost and complexity of the contracts.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

Recommendation:

Consider reviewing the contracts and removing any unused state variables, functions, and libraries.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Opal Finance team` solved the issue in commits [b821c18](#), [f9d59f7](#) and [51dbc2a](#).



AUTOMATED TESTING

5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the smart contracts in scope. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified the smart contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABIs and binary format, Slither was run against the contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

The security team assessed all findings identified by the Slither software, however, findings with severity **Information** and **Optimization** are not included in the below results for the sake of report readability.

Results:

src/pools/BPTOracle.sol

Slither results for BPTOracle.sol	
Finding	Impact
BPTOracle.BptPriceComposablePool(bytes32).i (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#161) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
BPTOracle.BptPriceStablePool(bytes32).i (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#75) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
BPTOracle.getUSDPrice(address) (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#211-221) has external calls inside a loop: priceFeed = IPriceFeed(priceFeedAddress).getPriceFeedFromAsset(token) (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#214)	Low
BPTOracle.getUSDPrice(address) (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#211-221) has external calls inside a loop: (priceInUSDInt) = priceFeed.latestRoundData() (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#216)	Low
BPTOracle.BptPriceComposablePool(bytes32) (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#151-187) has external calls inside a loop: poolRate = IRateProvider(pool).getRate() (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#173)	Low

Finding	Impact
BPTOracle.getUSDPrice(address) (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#211-221) has external calls inside a loop: decimals = ERC20(token).decimals() (src/pools/BPTOracle.sol#212)	Low
End of table for BPTOracle.sol	

src/pools/Omnipool.sol

Slither results for Omnipool.sol	
Finding	Impact
Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) ignores return value by underlyingToken.transfer(OPAL_TREASURY,underlyingFees) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#379)	High
Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) ignores return value by underlyingToken.transferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#244)	High
Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) ignores return value by underlyingToken.transfer(msg.sender,underlyingWithdrawn_) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#380)	High
Omnipool._MIN_DEPEG_THRESHOLD (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#94) is never initialized. It is used in: - Omnipool.updateDepegThreshold(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#631-640)	High
Omnipool._MAX_DEPEG_THRESHOLD (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#95) is never initialized. It is used in: - Omnipool.updateDepegThreshold(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#631-640)	High
Omnipool.lpTokenPerPool (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#102) is never initialized. It is used in: - Omnipool.handleDepeggedPool(address) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#915-941)	High
Omnipool._exchangeRate(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#688-693) uses a dangerous strict equality: - lpSupply == 0 totalUnderlying_ == 0 (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#690)	Medium

Finding	Impact
<pre>Omnipool._isBalanced(uint256[],uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1110-1131) uses a dangerous strict equality: - totalAllocated_ == 0 (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1115)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool._getUnderlyingCurrentWeight(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#701-705) uses a dangerous strict equality: - poolTvl == 0 totalTvl == 0 (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#704)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) uses a dangerous strict equality: - lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#227)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) uses a dangerous strict equality: - lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#347)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool.deposit(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#334-339) uses a dangerous strict equality: - lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] == block.number (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#335)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Contract locking ether found: Contract Omnipool (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#49-1225) has payable functions: - Omnipool. constructor(address,address,address,address,string,string) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#146-169) But does not have a function to withdraw the ether</pre>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - underlyingToken.approve(address(auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper),_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#233) - underlyingToken.transferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#244) - _depositToAura(beforeAllocatedBalance,beforeAllocatedPerPool,_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#246) - auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper.depositSingle(address(_pool.poolAddress),underlyingToken,_underlyingAmountIn,_pool.poolId,joinRequest) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#451-457) - lpToken.mint(_depositFor,lpReceived) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#256) - _handleRebalancingRewards(msg.sender,beforeTotalUnderlying,afterTotalUnderlying,beforeAllocatedPerPool,afterAllocatedPerPool) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#260-266) - controller.handleRebalancingRewards(account,deviationBefore,deviationAfter) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1096) State variables written after the call(s): - lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] = block.number (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#267) Omnipool.lastTransactionBlock (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#103) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Omnipool.deposit(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#334-339) - Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) - Omnipool.lastTransactionBlock (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#103) - Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) - _handleRebalancingRewards(msg.sender,beforeTotalUnderlying,afterTotalUnderlying,beforeAllocatedPerPool,afterAllocatedPerPool) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#260-266) - rebalancingRewardActive = false (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1099)Omnipool.rebalancingRewardActive (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#92) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Omnipool._getMaxDeviation() (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1152-1154) - Omnipool._handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256,uint256[],uint256[]) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1081-1101) - Omnipool.handleDepeggedPool(address) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#915-941) - Omnipool.rebalancingRewardActive (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#92) - Omnipool.updateWeight(address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1061-1079) - Omnipool.updateWeights(IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Omnipool._handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256,uint256[],uint256[]) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1081-1101):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - controller.handleRebalancingRewards(account,deviationBefore,deviationAfter) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1096) State variables written after the call(s): - rebalancingRewardActive = false (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1099)Omnipool.rebalancingRewardActive (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#92) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Omnipool._getMaxDeviation() (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1152-1154) - Omnipool._handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256,uint256[],uint256[]) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1081-1101) - Omnipool.handleDepeggedPool(address) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#915-941) - Omnipool.rebalancingRewardActive (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#92) - Omnipool.updateWeight(address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1061-1079) - Omnipool.updateWeights(IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1020-1053) 	Medium
<p>Reentrancy in Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _withdrawFromAura(allocatedUnderlying_,allocatedPerPool,underlyingToWithdraw_) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#364) - auraPool.withdrawAndUnwrap(_bptAmountOut,true) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#540) - balancerVault.exitPool(_pool.poolId,address(this),address(address(this)),exitRequest) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#557) State variables written after the call(s): - lastTransactionBlock[msg.sender] = block.number (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#372) Omnipool.lastTransactionBlock (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#103) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Omnipool.deposit(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#334-339) - Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) - Omnipool.lastTransactionBlock (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#103) - Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
Omnipool.updateWeights(IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1027) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool._getDepositPool(uint256,uint256[]).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#504) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool._computeTotalDeviation(uint256,uint256[]).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#991) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool._setWeightToZero(address).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#953) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool._isBalanced(uint256[],uint256).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#1117) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool._getWithdrawPool(uint256,uint256[]).i (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#592) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) ignores return value by lpToken.mint(_depositFor,lpReceived) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#256)	Medium
Omnipool.setExtraRewardPool(address,bytes32) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#772-779) ignores return value by IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),0) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#774)	Medium
Omnipool.approve(address,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#763-767) ignores return value by erc20.approve(addr,amount) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#766)	Medium
Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) ignores return value by underlying Token.approve(address(auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper),_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#233)	Medium
Omnipool._withdrawFromAuraPool(IOmnipool.UnderlyingPool,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#526-558) ignores return value by auraPool.withdrawAndUnwrap(_bptAmountOut,true) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#540)	Medium
Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381) ignores return value by lpToken.burn(msg.sender,_amountOut) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#373)	Medium
Omnipool.setExtraRewardPool(address,bytes32) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#772-779) ignores return value by IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),type()(uint256).max) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#775)	Medium

Finding	Impact
<pre>Omnipool.swapForGem(address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#793-853) ignores return value by balancerVault.batchSwap(IBalancerVault.SwapKind.GIVEN_IN,batchSwapSteps,assets,fundManagement,limits,deadline) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#843-850)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool.swapForGem(address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#793-853) ignores return value by erc20Token.approve(address(balancerVault),_amountIn) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#800)</pre>	Medium
<pre>Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268) should emit an event for: - totalDeposited += _amountIn (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#258)</pre>	Low
<pre>Omnipool.setRewardManager(address)._rewardManager (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#206) lacks a zero-check on : - rewardManager = _rewardManager (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#207)</pre>	Low
<pre>Omnipool.computeBptValuation(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#215-218) has external calls inside a loop: bptOracle.getPoolValuation(pool.poolId,pool.poolType) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#217)</pre>	Low
<pre>Omnipool.getPoolTvl(uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#178-184) has external calls inside a loop: bptBalance = IBalancerPool(pool.poolAddress).balanceOf(address(this)) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#181)</pre>	Low
<pre>Omnipool.getUserDeposit(address,uint256) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#408-413) has external calls inside a loop: bptBalance = IBalancerPool(pool.poolAddress).balanceOf(user) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#410)</pre>	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in <code>Omnipool.depositFor(uint256,address,uint256)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#226-268): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>underlyingToken.approve(address(auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper),_amountIn)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#233) - <code>underlyingToken.transferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),_amountIn)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#244) - <code>_depositToAura(beforeAllocatedBalance,beforeAllocatedPerPool,_amountIn)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#246) - <code>auraRewardPoolDepositWrapper.depositSingle(address(_pool.poolAddress),underlyingToken,_underlyingAmountIn,_pool.poolId,joinRequest)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#451-457) - <code>lpToken.mint(_depositFor,lpReceived)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#256) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>totalDeposited += _amountIn</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#258) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in <code>Omnipool.setExtraRewardPool(address,bytes32)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#772-779): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),0)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#774) - <code>IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),type()(uint256).max)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#775) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>extraRewardPools[_token] = _poolId</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#777) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in <code>Omnipool.withdraw(uint256,uint256)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#346-381): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>_withdrawFromAura(allocatedUnderlying_,allocatedPerPool,underlyingToWithdraw_)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#364) - <code>auraPool.withdrawAndUnwrap(_bptAmountOut,true)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#540) - <code>balancerVault.exitPool(_pool.poolId,address(this),address(address(this)),exitRequest)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#557) - <code>lpToken.burn(msg.sender,_amountOut)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#373) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>totalDeposited -=</code> <code>underlyingWithdrawn_</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#374) 	Low

Finding	Impact
Reentrancy in <code>Omnipool.setExtraRewardPool(address,bytes32)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#772-779): External calls: - <code>IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),0)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#774) - <code>IERC20(_token).approve(address(balancerVault),type()(uint256).max)</code>) (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#775) Event emitted after the call(s): - <code>ExtraRewardPoolIdUpdated(_token,_poolId)</code> (src/pools/Omnipool.sol#778)	Low
End of table for Omnipool.sol	

src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol

Slither results for OmnipoolController.sol	
Finding	Impact
<code>OmnipoolController.lastWeightUpdate</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#47) is never initialized. It is used in: - <code>OmnipoolController.getLastWeightUpdate(address)</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#334-336)	High
<code>OmnipoolController.computePoolWeight(address).poolUSDValue</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#313) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
<code>OmnipoolController.updateWeights(address,IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]).i</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#206) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
<code>OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights().i_scope_1</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#296) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
<code>OmnipoolController.handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256).i</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#257) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
<code>OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights().i_scope_0</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#292) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
<code>OmnipoolController.computePoolWeight(address).i</code> (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#314) is a local variable never initialized	Medium

Finding	Impact
OmnipoolController.updateAllWeights(IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]).i (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#223) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights().i (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#277) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
OmnipoolController.handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#248-266) ignores return value by IRebalancingRewardsHandler(handler).handleRebalancingRewards(IOmnipool(msg.sender),account,deviationBefore,deviationAfter) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#259-261)	Medium
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#270-300) has external calls inside a loop: price = oracle.getUSDPrice(address(underlying)) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#281)	Low
OmnipoolController.handleRebalancingRewards(address,uint256,uint256) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#248-266) has external calls inside a loop: IRebalancingRewardsHandler(handler).handleRebalancingRewards(IOmnipool(msg.sender),account,deviationBefore,deviationAfter) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#259-261)	Low
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeight(address) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#304-332) has external calls inside a loop: underlying = currentPool.getUnderlyingToken() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#317)	Low
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeight(address) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#304-332) has external calls inside a loop: usdValue = currentPool.getTotalUnderlying().convertScale(underlying.decimals(),18).mulDown(price) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#319-321)	Low
OmnipoolController.updateWeights(address,IOmnipoolController.WeightUpdate[]) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#201-212) has external calls inside a loop: IOmnipool(omniPool).updateWeights(weights) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#207)	Low
OmnipoolController.onlyOpalTeam() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#73-78) has external calls inside a loop: ! registryAccess.checkRole(ROLE_OPAL_TEAM,msg.sender) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#74)	Low

Finding	Impact
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#270-300) has external calls inside a loop: poolUSDValue = pool.getTotalUnderlying().convertScale(underlying.decimals(),18).mulDown(price) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#282-283)	Low
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeight(address) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#304-332) has external calls inside a loop: price = oracle.getUSDPrice(address(underlying)) (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#318)	Low
OmnipoolController.computePoolWeights() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#270-300) has external calls inside a loop: underlying = pool.getUnderlyingToken() (src/pools/OmnipoolController.sol#280)	Low
End of table for OmnipoolController.sol	

src/pools/OpalLpToken.sol

Slither results for OpalLpToken.sol	
Finding	Impact
End of table for OpalLpToken.sol	

src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol

Slither results for EscrowedToken.sol	
Finding	Impact
EscrowedToken.getVestingClaimValue(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#176-199) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - claimAmount = (userVesting.amount * (SCALED_ONE + (ratePerToken - userVesting.ratePerToken))) / SCALED_ONE (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#190-192) - removedAmount = (claimAmount * remainingTime) / vestingDuration (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#195)	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>EscrowedToken._claim(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#283-308) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - removedAmount = (claimAmount * remainingTime) / vestingDuration (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#295) - ratePerToken += (SCALED_ONE * removedAmount) / totalVesting (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#304)</p>	Medium
<p>EscrowedToken._claim(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#283-308) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - claimAmount = (userVesting.amount * (SCALED_ONE + (ratePerToken - userVesting.ratePerToken))) / SCALED_ONE (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#292-294) - removedAmount = (claimAmount * remainingTime) / vestingDuration (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#295)</p>	Medium
<p>Reentrancy in EscrowedToken._claim(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#283-308): External calls: - token.safeTransfer(account,claimAmount) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#302) State variables written after the call(s): - ratePerToken += (SCALED_ONE * removedAmount) / totalVesting (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#304)EscrowedToken.ratePerToken (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#47) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - EscrowedToken.getVestingClaimValue(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#176-199) - EscrowedToken.ratePerToken (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#47)</p>	Medium
<p>EscrowedToken.claimMultiple(uint256[]).i (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#254) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium
<p>EscrowedToken.getUserActiveVestings(address).activeCount (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#141) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium
<p>EscrowedToken.claimAll().i (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#267) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium
<p>EscrowedToken.getUserActiveVestings(address).i (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#143) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
EscrowedToken.getUserActiveVestings(address).index (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#152) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
EscrowedToken._claim(address,uint256).remainingTime (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#288) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
EscrowedToken.getVestingClaimValue(address,uint256).remainingTime (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#185) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
EscrowedToken.getUserActiveVestings(address).i_scope_0 (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#154) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
EscrowedToken._claim(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#283-308) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp < userVesting.end (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#289)	Low
EscrowedToken.getVestingClaimValue(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#176-199) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp < userVesting.end (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#186)	Low
EscrowedToken.mint(uint256,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#209-237) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - startTimestamp < block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/EscrowedToken.sol#216)	Low
End of table for EscrowedToken.sol	

src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol

Slither results for GaugeController.sol	
Finding	Impact

Finding	Impact
GaugeController.addGauge(address,int128,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#577-611) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - nextTimestamp = ((block.timestamp + WEEK) / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#590)	Medium
GaugeController._gaugeRelativeWeight(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#394-408) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - timestamp = (timestamp / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#399)	Medium
GaugeController._changeTypeWeight(int128,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#415-428) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - nextTimestamp = ((block.timestamp + WEEK) / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#419)	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#476-567) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - vars.nextTimestamp = ((block.timestamp + WEEK) / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#482)	Medium
GaugeController._changeGaugeWeight(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#435-457) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - nextTimestamp = ((block.timestamp + WEEK) / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#443)	Medium
GaugeController.constructor(address,address,address) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#101-110) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - lastUpdate = (block.timestamp / WEEK) * WEEK (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#109)	Medium
GaugeController.addGauge(address,int128,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#577-611) uses a dangerous strict equality: - lastTypeUpdate[gaugeType] == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#605)	Medium

Finding	Impact
GaugeController._getSum(int128) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#271-300) uses a dangerous strict equality: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#273)	Medium
GaugeController._getWeight(address) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#357-386) uses a dangerous strict equality: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#359)	Medium
GaugeController._getTotal() (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#306-350) uses a dangerous strict equality: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#308)	Medium
GaugeController._getTypeWeight(int128) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#243-264) uses a dangerous strict equality: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#245)	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#476-567) contains a tautology or contradiction: - vars.powerUsed > 10_000 vars.powerUsed < 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#518)	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256).j (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#529) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController.voteForManyGaugeWeights(address[],uint256[]).i (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#227) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._getTotal().j (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#322) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._getWeight(address).i (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#362) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256).l (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#559) is a local variable never initialized	Medium

Finding	Impact
GaugeController._getTotal().i (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#311) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._getTypeWeight(int128).i (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#248) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256).k (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#545) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._getTotal().k (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#327) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256).vars (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#477) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController._getSum(int128).i (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#276) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
GaugeController.constructor(address,address,address)._voteLocker (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#101) lacks a zero-check on : - voteLocker = _voteLocker (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#105)	Low
GaugeController.constructor(address,address,address)._token (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#101) lacks a zero-check on : - token = _token (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#104)	Low
GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#476-567) has external calls inside a loop: (locks) = IVoteLocker(voteLocker).lockedBalances(msg.sender) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#478-479)	Low
GaugeController._getTotal() (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#306-350) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#308) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#323) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#340)	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>GaugeController._getWeight(address) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#357-386) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#359) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#363) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#376) 	Low
<p>GaugeController.addGauge(address,int128,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#577-611) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - lastTypeUpdate[gaugeType] == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#605) 	Low
<p>GaugeController._voteForGaugeweight(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#476-567) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - locks[vars.len - 1].unlockTime < vars.nextTimestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#483) - block.timestamp < lastUserVote[user][gauge] + WEIGHT_VOTE_DELAY (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#485) - currentLock.unlockTime > vars.nextTimestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#494) - i > 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#503) - vars.gaugeType < 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#512) - vars.powerUsed > 10_000 vars.powerUsed < 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#518) - j < vars.oldUnlocksLen (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#529) - oldUnlocks[j].unlockTime <= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#531) - k < vars.len (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#545) - unlocks[k].unlockTime <= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#547) - l < vars.len (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#559) - unlocks[l].unlockTime <= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#561) 	Low

Finding	Impact
GaugeController._getTypeWeight(int128) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#243-264) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#245) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#249) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#254)	Low
GaugeController._getSum(int128) (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#271-300) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - timestamp == 0 (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#273) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#277) - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/GaugeController.sol#290)	Low
End of table for GaugeController.sol	

src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol

Slither results for MinterEscrow.sol	
Finding	Impact
MinterEscrow._mintMultipleFor(address[],address).totalMintAmount (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#224) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
MinterEscrow._mintMultipleFor(address[],address).i (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#227) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
MinterEscrow.updateApprove(uint256) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#111-113) ignores return value by IERC20(token).approve(escrow,_approve) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#112)	Medium
MinterEscrow._prepareGaugeMint(address,address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#203-216) ignores return value by ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#206)	Medium

Finding	Impact
MinterEscrow.constructor(address,address,address,address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#56-65) ignores return value by IERC20(token).approve(escrow,type()(uint256).max) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#62)	Medium
MinterEscrow.constructor(address,address,address,address).controller_ _ (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#56) lacks a zero-check on : - controller = controller_ (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#59)	Low
MinterEscrow.constructor(address,address,address,address)._escrow (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#56) lacks a zero-check on : - escrow = _escrow (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#58)	Low
MinterEscrow.constructor(address,address,address,address).token_ _ (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#56) lacks a zero-check on : - token = token_ (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#57)	Low
Reentrancy in MinterEscrow._prepareGaugeMint(address,address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#203-216): External calls: - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#206) State variables written after the call(s): - minted[account][gauge] = totalMint (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#212) - mintedSupply = _newMintedSupply (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#213)	Low
Reentrancy in MinterEscrow._mintMultipleFor(address[],address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#223-241): External calls: - toMintAmount = _prepareGaugeMint(gauges[i],account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#228) - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#206) Event emitted after the call(s): - Minted(account,gauges[i],toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#232)	Low

Finding	Impact
Reentrancy in MinterEscrow._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#189-196): External calls: - toMintAmount = _prepareGaugeMint(gauge,account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#190) - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#206) - EscrowedToken(escrow).mint(toMintAmount,account,block.timestamp) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#193) Event emitted after the call(s): - Minted(account,gauge,toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#194)	Low
MinterEscrow._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#176-182) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - start > end (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#177) - start < startDistribution (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#179)	Low
MinterEscrow.availableSupply() (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#73-76) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp < startDistribution (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#74)	Low
MinterEscrow.rate() (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#92-95) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp >= startDistribution + RATE_END_TIMESTAMP (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#93)	Low
MinterEscrow._prepareGaugeMint(address,address) (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#203-216) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - _newMintedSupply > _availableSupply() (src/tokenomics/MinterEscrow.sol#210)	Low
End of table for MinterEscrow.sol	

src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol

Slither results for VoteLocker.sol	
Finding	Impact

Finding	Impact
<p>VoteLocker._lock(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#362-405) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentEpoch = block.timestamp.div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#382)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker._processExpiredLocks(address,bool,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#544-639) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentEpoch_scope_0 = block.timestamp.sub(_checkDelay).div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#595-596)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointEpoch() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#481-493) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentEpoch = block.timestamp.div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#482)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker.constructor(string,string,address,address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#184-201) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentEpoch = block.timestamp.div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#199)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker._processExpiredLocks(address,bool,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#544-639) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentEpoch = block.timestamp.sub(_checkDelay).div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#574-575)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker.getPastVotes(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#789-801) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - epoch = timestamp.div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#791)</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>VoteLocker.delegate(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#650-693) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - upcomingEpoch = block.timestamp.add(rewardsDuration).div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#666-667)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointDelegate(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#695-751) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - upcomingEpoch = block.timestamp.add(rewardsDuration).div(rewardsDuration).mul(rewardsDuration) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#702-703)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker.totalSupplyAtEpoch(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#941-963) uses a dangerous strict equality: - e.date == epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#952)</p>	Medium
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointDelegate(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#695-751) uses a dangerous strict equality: - prevCkpt.epochStart == upcomingEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#708)</p>	Medium
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool[]) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#448-468): External calls: - IERC20(_rewardsToken).safeTransfer(_account,reward) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#461) State variables written after the call(s): - userData[_account][_rewardsToken].rewards = 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#460) VoteLocker.userData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#82) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker._earned(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1048-1056) - VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#422-441) - VoteLocker.updateReward(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#209-231) - VoteLocker.userData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#82)</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker._processExpiredLocks(address,bool,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#544-639): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpointDelegate(delegates(_account),0,0) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#619) - ckpts[ckpts.length - 1] = DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#709-712) - ckpts.push(DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes - unlocksSinceLatestCkpt + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48())) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#731-739) - stakingToken.safeTransfer(_rewardAddress,reward) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#629) - _lock(_account,locked) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#635) - ckpts[ckpts.length - 1] = DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#709-712) - ckpts.push(DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes - unlocksSinceLatestCkpt + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48())) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#731-739) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _lock(_account,locked) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#635) - bal.locked = bal.locked.add(lockAmount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#376) <p>VoteLocker.balances (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#94) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - VoteLocker.balances (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#94) - VoteLocker.claimableRewards(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1009-1026) - VoteLocker.lockedBalances(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#898-924) - VoteLocker.updateReward(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#209-231) - _lock(_account,locked) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#635) - delegateeUnlocks[delegatee][unlockTime] += lockAmount (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#396) <p>VoteLocker.delegateeUnlocks (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#103) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - VoteLocker.delegateeUnlocks (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#103) - VoteLocker.getPastVotes(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#789-801) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#422-441): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(_rewardsToken).safeTransfer(_account,reward) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#434) State variables written after the call(s): - userData[_account][_rewardsToken].rewards = 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#433) VoteLocker.userData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#82) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker._earned(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1048-1056) - VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#422-441) - VoteLocker.updateReward(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#209-231) - VoteLocker.userData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#82) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.queueNewRewards(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1094-1122): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(_rewardsToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),_rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1100) State variables written after the call(s): - _notifyReward(_rewardsToken,_rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1106) - rdata.rewardRate = _reward.div(rewardsDuration).to96() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1136) - rdata.rewardRate = _reward.add(leftover).div(rewardsDuration).to96() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1140) - rewardData[token].rewardPerTokenStored = newRewardPerToken.to96() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#216) - rewardData[token].lastUpdateTime = _lastTimeRewardApplicable(rewardData[token].periodFinish).to32() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#217-218) - rdata.lastUpdateTime = block.timestamp.to32() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1147) - rdata.periodFinish = block.timestamp.add(rewardsDuration).to32() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1148) VoteLocker.rewardData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#78) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker._rewardPerToken(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1072-1081) - VoteLocker.addReward(address,address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#272-281) - VoteLocker.approveRewardDistributor(address,address,bool) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#290-296) - VoteLocker.lastTimeRewardApplicable(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1033-1035) - VoteLocker.recoverERC20(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#329-335) - VoteLocker.rewardData (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#78) - VoteLocker.updateReward(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#209-231) - _notifyReward(_rewardsToken,_rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1117) - rdata.rewardRate = _reward.div(rewardsDuration).to96() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1136) - rdata.rewardRate = _reward.add(leftover).div(rewardsDuration).to96() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1140) - rewardData[token].rewardPerTokenStored = newRewardPerToken.to96() 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker._lock(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#362-405): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpointDelegate(delegatee,lockAmount,0) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#397) - ckpts[ckpts.length - 1] = DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#709-712) - ckpts.push(DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes - unlocksSinceLatestCkpt + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48())) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#731-739) State variables written after the call(s): - e.supply = e.supply.add(lockAmount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#402) VoteLocker._epochs (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#92) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker._checkpointEpoch() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#481-493) - VoteLocker._epochs (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#92) - VoteLocker.balanceAtEpochOf(uint256,address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#858-887) - VoteLocker.constructor(string,string,address,address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#184-201) - VoteLocker.epochCount() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#977-979) - VoteLocker.epochs(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#981-983) - VoteLocker.findEpochId(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#966-968) - VoteLocker.totalSupplyAtEpoch(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#941-963) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.lock(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#349-355): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - stakingToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),_amount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#351) - _lock(_account,_amount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#354) - ckpts[ckpts.length - 1] = DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#709-712) - ckpts.push(DelegateeCheckpoint((prevCkpt.votes - unlocksSinceLatestCkpt + _upcomingAddition - _upcomingDeduction).to208(),upcomingEpoch.to48())) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#731-739) State variables written after the call(s): - _lock(_account,_amount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#354) - bal.locked = bal.locked.add(lockAmount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#376) VoteLocker.balances (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#94) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker.balances (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#94) - VoteLocker.claimableRewards(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1009-1026) - VoteLocker.lockedBalances(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#898-924) - VoteLocker.updateReward(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#209-231) - _lock(_account,_amount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#354) - lockedSupply = lockedSupply.add(_amount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#379) VoteLocker.lockedSupply (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#90) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - VoteLocker._rewardPerToken(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1072-1081) - VoteLocker.lockedSupply (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#90) 	Medium
<p>VoteLocker.lockedBalances(address).idx (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#906) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<pre>VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool[]).i (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#455) is a local variable never initialized</pre>	Medium
<pre>VoteLocker.getReward(address,bool).i (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#429) is a local variable never initialized</pre>	Medium
<pre>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.queueNewRewards(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1094-1122): External calls: - IERC20(_rewardsToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this), _rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1100) State variables written after the call(s): - queuedRewards[_rewardsToken] = 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1107) - queuedRewards[_rewardsToken] = 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1118) - queuedRewards[_rewardsToken] = _rewards (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1120) - _notifyReward(_rewardsToken,_rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1106) - userData[_account][token] = UserData(newRewardPerToken.to128(),_e arned(_account,token,userBalance.locked).to128()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#220-223) - _notifyReward(_rewardsToken,_rewards) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1117) - userData[_account][token] = UserData(newRewardPerToken.to128(),_e arned(_account,token,userBalance.locked).to128()) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#220-223)</pre>	Low
<pre>Reentrancy in VoteLocker.recoverERC20(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#329-335): External calls: - IERC20(_tokenAddress).safeTransfer(ADMIN_ADDRESS,_tokenAmount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#333) Event emitted after the call(s): - Recovered(_tokenAddress,_tokenAmount) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#334)</pre>	Low
<pre>VoteLocker._notifyReward(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1129-1151) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp >= rdata.periodFinish (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1135)</pre>	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointDelegate(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#695-751) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - prevCkpt.epochStart == upcomingEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#708) - prevCkpt.epochStart + lockDuration <= upcomingEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#716) - nextEpoch > prevCkpt.epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#727) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker._processExpiredLocks(address,bool,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#544-639) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - isShutdown locks[length - 1].unlockTime <= expiryTime (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#562) - locks[i].unlockTime > expiryTime (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#587) - reward > 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#624) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.getPastVotes(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#789-801) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - timestamp > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#790) - votes == 0 ckpt.epochStart + lockDuration <= epoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#794) - epoch > ckpt.epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#797) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointsLookup(VoteLocker.DelegateeCheckpoint[],uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#816-833) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ckpts[mid].epochStart > epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#825) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.lockedBalances(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#898-924) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - locks[i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#909) 	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>VoteLocker.totalSupplyAtEpoch(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#941-963) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - epochStart >= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#943) - i > 0 (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#950) - e.date == epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#952) - e.date <= cutoffEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#954) - _epoch > lastIndex (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#948) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.delegate(address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#650-693) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - currentLock.unlockTime > upcomingEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#672) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.balanceAtEpochOf(uint256,address) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#858-887) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - epochStart >= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#860) - lockEpoch < epochStart (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#873) - lockEpoch > cutoffEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#874) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker._checkpointEpoch() (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#481-493) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - nextEpochDate < currentEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#487) - nextEpochDate != currentEpoch (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#488) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.getPastTotalSupply(uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#807-810) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - timestamp >= block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#808) 	Low
<p>VoteLocker.queueNewRewards(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1094-1122) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - block.timestamp >= rdata.periodFinish (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1105) - queuedRatio < newRewardRatio (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#1116) 	Low

Finding	Impact
VoteLocker._lock(address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#362-405) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - idx == 0 userLocks[_account][idx - 1].unlockTime < unlockTime (src/tokenomics/VoteLocker.sol#385)	Low
End of table for VoteLocker.sol	

src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol

Slither results for GaugeFactory.sol	
Finding	Impact
GaugeFactory.setImplementation(address)._implementation (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#79) lacks a zero-check on : - implementation = _implementation (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#80)	Low
GaugeFactory.constructor(address,address)._implementation (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#42) lacks a zero-check on : - implementation = _implementation (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#43)	Low
Reentrancy in GaugeFactory.deployGauge(address) (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#57-71): External calls: - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).initialize(lpToken) (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#61) State variables written after the call(s): - gaugeToLpToken[gauge] = lpToken (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#65) - isFactoryGauge[gauge] = true (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#63) - lpTokenToGauge[lpToken] = gauge (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#66)	Low
Reentrancy in GaugeFactory.deployGauge(address) (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#57-71): External calls: - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).initialize(lpToken) (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#61) Event emitted after the call(s): - NewGauge(lpToken,gauge) (src/tokenomics/GaugeFactory.sol#68)	Low
End of table for GaugeFactory.sol	

src/tokenomics/Minter.sol

Slither results for Minter.sol	
Finding	Impact
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) ignores return value by IERC20(token).transfer(account,toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#271)	High
Minter._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#202-246) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - currentRate = currentRate * RATE_REDUCTION_COEFFICIENT / SCALED_ONE (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#212) - currentRate = currentRate * RATE_REDUCTION_COEFFICIENT / SCALED_ONE (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#238)	Medium
Minter._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#202-246) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - toMint += currentRate * (currentEnd - currentStart) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#232) - currentRate = currentRate * RATE_REDUCTION_COEFFICIENT / SCALED_ONE (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#238)	Medium
Minter._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256).toMint (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#205) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Minter._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256).i (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#217) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Minter.mintMultiple(address[]).i (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#99) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) ignores return value by ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#260)	Medium
Minter.constructor(address,address).token_ (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#54) lacks a zero-check on : - token = token_ (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#55)	Low

Finding	Impact
Minter.constructor(address,address).controller_ (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#54) lacks a zero-check on : - controller = controller_ (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#56)	Low
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) has external calls inside a loop: ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#260)	Low
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) has external calls inside a loop: totalMint = ILiquidityGauge(gauge).integrateFraction(account) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#261)	Low
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) has external calls inside a loop: IERC20(token).transfer(account,toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#271)	Low
Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) has external calls inside a loop: IGaugeController(controller).getGaugeType(gauge) == 0 (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#254)	Low
Reentrancy in Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275): External calls: - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#260) State variables written after the call(s): - minted[account][gauge] = totalMint (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#268) - mintedSupply = _newMintedSupply (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#269)	Low
Reentrancy in Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275): External calls: - ILiquidityGauge(gauge).userCheckpoint(account) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#260) - IERC20(token).transfer(account,toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#271) Event emitted after the call(s): - Minted(account,gauge,toMintAmount) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#273)	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Minter._mintableInTimeframe(uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#202-246) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - end > currentEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#210) - end > currentEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#215) - end >= currentEpochTime (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#218) - currentEnd > currentEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#221) - currentStart >= currentEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#225) - currentStart < currentEpochTime (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#228) - start >= currentEpochTime (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#234) 	Low
<p>Minter.startEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#133-139) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - block.timestamp >= startEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#135) 	Low
<p>Minter.futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#145-152) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - block.timestamp >= startEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#147) 	Low
<p>Minter._mintFor(address,address) (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#253-275) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - block.timestamp >= startEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#256) - _newMintedSupply > _availableSupply() (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#265) 	Low
<p>Minter.updateMiningParameters() (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#124-127) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - block.timestamp < startEpochTime + RATE_REDUCTION_TIME (src/tokenomics/Minter.sol#125) 	Low
End of table for Minter.sol	

src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol

Slither results for LiquidityGauge.sol	
Finding	Impact
LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - <code>lim += L * userBalance / totalLockedSupply * (100 - TOKENLESS_PRODUCTION) / 100</code> (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#418)	Medium
LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - <code>w = IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).gaugeRelativeWeight(address(this),prevWeekTime / WEEK * WEEK)</code> (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#473-475)	Medium
LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - <code>weekTime = (periodTime + WEEK) / WEEK * WEEK</code> (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#468)	Medium
LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) uses a dangerous strict equality: - <code>weekTime == block.timestamp</code> (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#500)	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - integrateInvSupply.push(_integrateInvSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#510)LiquidityGauge.integrateInvSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#77) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.initialize(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#152-171) - LiquidityGauge.integrateInvSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#77) - integrateInvSupplyBoosted = _integrateInvSupplyBoosted (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#511)LiquidityGauge.integrateInvSupplyBoosted (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#78) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.integrateInvSupplyBoosted (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#78) - period = _period (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#508)LiquidityGauge.period (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#73) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.integrateCheckpoint() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#187-189) - LiquidityGauge.period (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#73) - periodTimestamp.push(block.timestamp) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#509)LiquidityGauge.periodTimestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#74) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.initialize(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#152-171) - LiquidityGauge.integrateCheckpoint() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#187-189) - LiquidityGauge.periodTimestamp 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524): External calls: - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) State variables written after the call(s): - inflationRate = newRate (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#447)LiquidityGauge.inflationRate (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#91) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.inflationRate (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#91) - LiquidityGauge.initialize(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#152-171)</p>	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._deposit(uint256,address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#340-357): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(user) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#341) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - balanceOf[user] = newUserBalance (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#347)LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - totalSupply = _totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#348)LiquidityGauge.totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#62) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#62) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,newUserBalance,_totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#350) - workingBalances[user] = lim (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#423)LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,newUserBalance,totalSupply) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._withdraw(uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#363-380): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(msg.sender) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#364) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - balanceOf[msg.sender] = newUserBalance (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#370)LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - totalSupply = _totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#371)LiquidityGauge.totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#62) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.totalSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#62) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - _updateLiquidityLimit(msg.sender,newUserBalance,_totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#373) - workingBalances[user] = lim (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#423)LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) - _updateLiquidityLimit(msg.sender,newUserBalance,_totalSupply) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(user) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#202) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#203) - workingBalances[user] = lim (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#423)LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#203) - workingSupply = _workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#425)LiquidityGauge.workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#69) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#69) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(user) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#231) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#232) - workingBalances[user] = lim (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#423)LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.workingBalances (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#68) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#232) - workingSupply = _workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#425)LiquidityGauge.workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#69) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge._updateLiquidityLimit(address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#413-428) - LiquidityGauge.workingSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#69) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._transfer(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#388-405): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(from) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#389) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) - _checkpoint(to) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#390) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - balanceOf[from] = newFromBalance (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#396)LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - balanceOf[to] = newToBalance (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#400)LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.balanceOf (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#61) - LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) - LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205) - _checkpoint(to) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#390) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445)LiquidityGauge.futureEpochTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#59) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) - LiquidityGauge.futureEpochTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#59) - LiquidityGauge.initialize(address) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address).i (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#471) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address).endTimeStamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#451) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
LiquidityGauge.constructor(address,address,address,address)._minter (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#132) lacks a zero-check on : - MINTER = _minter (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#137)	Low
LiquidityGauge.constructor(address,address,address,address)._vlToken (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#134) lacks a zero-check on : - VL_TOKEN = _vlToken (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#139)	Low
LiquidityGauge.initialize(address)._lpToken (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#152) lacks a zero-check on : - lpToken = _lpToken (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#155)	Low
LiquidityGauge.constructor(address,address,address,address)._minterEscrow (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#133) lacks a zero-check on : - MINTER_ESCROW = _minterEscrow (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#138)	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - integrateBoostedCheckpointOf[user] = block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#523) - integrateBoostedInvSupplyOf[user] = _integrateInvSupplyBoosted (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#522) - integrateCheckpointOf[user] = block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#517) - integrateFraction[user] += _userBalance * (_integrateInvSupply - integrateInvSupplyOf[user]) / 10 ** 18 (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#514-515) - integrateFractionBoosted[user] += _workingBalance * (_integrateInvSupplyBoosted - integrateBoostedInvSupplyOf[user]) / 10 ** 18 (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#520-521) - integrateInvSupplyOf[user] = _integrateInvSupply (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#516) 	<p>Low</p>
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) State variables written after the call(s): - inflationRateBoosted = newRateBoosted (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#454) 	<p>Low</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge._transfer(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#388-405): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(from) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#389) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) - _checkpoint(to) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#390) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) State variables written after the call(s): - _checkpoint(to) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#390) - integrateBoostedCheckpointOf[user] = block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#523) - _checkpoint(to) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#390) - integrateCheckpointOf[user] = block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#517) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge.userCheckpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#198-205): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _checkpoint(user) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#202) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) Event emitted after the call(s): - UpdateLiquidityLimit(user,l,L,lim,_workingSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#427) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#203) 	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233): External calls: - _checkpoint(user) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#231) - futureEpochTime = IMinter(MINTER).futureEpochTimeWrite() (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#445) - IGaugeController(GAUGE_CONTROLLER).checkpointGauge(address(this)) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#465) Event emitted after the call(s): - UpdateLiquidityLimit(user,l,L,lim,_workingSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#427) - _updateLiquidityLimit(user,balanceOf[user],totalSupply) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#232)</p>	Low
<p>LiquidityGauge.kick(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#221-233) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - IVoteLocker(VL_TOKEN).balanceOf(user) > 0 && vlTime < lastTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#228)</p>	Low
<p>LiquidityGauge.permit(address,address,uint256,uint256) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#311-331) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - block.timestamp > deadline (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#316)</p>	Low
<p>LiquidityGauge._checkpoint(address) (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#434-524) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - prevFutureEpoch >= periodTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#444) - block.timestamp > periodTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#461) - weekTime > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#469) - prevFutureEpoch >= prevWeekTime && prevFutureEpoch < weekTime (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#477) - endTimestamp >= prevWeekTime && endTimestamp < weekTime && endTimestamp != 0 (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#490) - weekTime == block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#500) - weekTime + WEEK > block.timestamp (src/tokenomics/LiquidityGauge.sol#503)</p>	Low

Finding	Impact
End of table for LiquidityGauge.sol	

src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol

Slither results for GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol	
Finding	Impact
GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address, address, uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: IERC20(gem).transferFrom(INCENTIVES_MS, account, amount) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#111)	High
GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address, address, uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115) ignores return value by IERC20(gem).transferFrom(INCENTIVES_MS, account, amount) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#111)	High
GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address, address, uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115) uses a dangerous strict equality: - amount == 0 (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#110)	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115):External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(gem).transferFrom(INCENTIVES_MS,account,amount) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#111) State variables written after the call(s): - totalGemMinted += amount (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#112)GemMinterRebalancingReward.totalGemMinted (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#49) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address, address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115) - GemMinterRebalancingReward.totalGemMinted (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#49) 	Medium
<p>Reentrancy in GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115):External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(gem).transferFrom(INCENTIVES_MS,account,amount) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#111) Event emitted after the call(s): - RebalancingRewardDistributed(pool,account,address(gem),amount) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#113) 	Low
<p>GemMinterRebalancingReward._distributeRebalancingRewards(address,address,uint256) (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#103-115) uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - totalGemMinted + amount > _MAX_REBALANCING_REWARDS (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#107) - amount == 0 (src/tokenomics/GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol#110) 	Low
End of table for GemMinterRebalancingReward.sol	

src/RewardManager.sol

Slither results for RewardManager.sol	
Finding	Impact

Finding	Impact
RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: AURAToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,auraAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#169)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#357)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360)	High
RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: BALToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,balAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#165)	High
RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: GEMToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,gemAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#173)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) ignores return value by BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359)	High
RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) ignores return value by BALToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,balAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#165)	High
RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) ignores return value by BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356)	High
RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) ignores return value by GEMToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,gemAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#173)	High

Finding	Impact
<p>RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) ignores return value by AURAToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,auraAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#169)</p>	High
<p>RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) ignores return value by AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#357)</p>	High
<p>RewardManager._claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#338-361) ignores return value by AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360)</p>	High
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - extraRewardsLength = auraPool.extraRewardsLength() (src/RewardManager.sol#206) - extraReward = auraPool.extraRewards(j) (src/RewardManager.sol#208) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _extraRewardTokens.push(extraRewardToken) (src/RewardManager.sol#218)RewardManager._extraRewardTokens (src/RewardManager.sol#68) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - RewardManager._swapExtraReward() (src/RewardManager.sol#411-431) - RewardManager.getExtraRewardToken(uint256) (src/RewardManager.sol#120-123) - RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) - ++ _extraRewardTokensLength (src/RewardManager.sol#220)RewardManager._extraRewardTokensLength (src/RewardManager.sol#67) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - RewardManager._swapExtraReward() (src/RewardManager.sol#411-431) - RewardManager.getExtraRewardToken(uint256) (src/RewardManager.sol#120-123) - RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) - _extraRewardTokensMap[extraRewardToken] = true (src/RewardManager.sol#217)RewardManager._extraRewardTokensMap (src/RewardManager.sol#69) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _updateUserState(msg.sender) (src/RewardManager.sol#144) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getReward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - omnipool.approve(address(this),BAL,balToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#347) - omnipool.approve(address(this),AURA,auraToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#348) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#357) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - AURAMeta.accountShare[msg.sender] = 0 (src/RewardManager.sol#158) <p>RewardManager.AURAMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RewardManager.AURAMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#61) - RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333) - RewardManager._updateRewards(address,uint256) (src/RewardManager.sol#282-297) - RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) - BALMeta.accountShare[msg.sender] = 0 (src/RewardManager.sol#157) <p>RewardManager.BALMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#60) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RewardManager.BALMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#60) - RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333) - RewardManager._updateRewards(address,uint256) (src/RewardManager.sol#282-297) - RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) - GEMMeta.accountShare[msg.sender] = 0 (src/RewardManager.sol#159) <p>RewardManager.GEMMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#62) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RewardManager.GEMMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#62) - RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager._updateUserState(address) (src/RewardManager.sol#267-276): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#272) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getReward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - omnipool.approve(address(this),BAL,balToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#347) - omnipool.approve(address(this),AURA,auraToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#348) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#357) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360) State variables written after the call(s): - _updateRewards(_account,deposited) (src/RewardManager.sol#275) - AURAMeta.accountShare[account] += auraShare (src/RewardManager.sol#290) - AURAMeta.accountIntegral[account] = AURAMeta.earnedIntegral (src/RewardManager.sol#291) RewardManager.AURAMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#61) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - RewardManager.AURAMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#61) - RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333) - RewardManager._updateRewards(address,uint256) (src/RewardManager.sol#282-297) - RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180) - _updateRewards(_account,deposited) (src/RewardManager.sol#275) - BALMeta.accountShare[account] += balShare (src/RewardManager.sol#285) - BALMeta.accountIntegral[account] = BALMeta.earnedIntegral (src/RewardManager.sol#286) RewardManager.BALMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#60) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - RewardManager.BALMeta (src/RewardManager.sol#60) - RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333) 	<p>Medium</p>

Finding	Impact
<pre>RewardManager._virtualBalanceRewardAddrToTokenAddr(address) (src/RewardManager.sol#255-261) has external calls inside a loop: I BaseToken(IRewardToken(rewardAddr).rewardToken()).baseToken() (src/RewardManager.sol#260)</pre>	Low
<pre>RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) has external calls inside a loop: extraReward = auraPool.extraRewards(j) (src/RewardManager.sol#208)</pre>	Low
<pre>RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) has external calls inside a loop: underlyingPool = omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(i_scope_0) (src/RewardManager.sol#203)</pre>	Low
<pre>RewardManager.setExtraRewardTokens() (src/RewardManager.sol#187-233) has external calls inside a loop: extraRewardsLength = auraPool.extraRewardsLength() (src/RewardManager.sol#206)</pre>	Low
<pre>Reentrancy in RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333): External calls: - (earnedBAL,earnedAURA,earnedGEM) = _claimOmnipoolRewards() (src/RewardManager.sol#304) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getRe ward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) State variables written after the call(s): - AURAMeta.earnedIntegral += (earnedAURA * SCALED_ONE) / totalDeposited (src/RewardManager.sol#322) - AURAMeta.lastEarned += earnedAURA (src/RewardManager.sol#323) - BALMeta.earnedIntegral += (earnedBAL * SCALED_ONE) / totalDeposited (src/RewardManager.sol#319) - BALMeta.lastEarned += earnedBAL (src/RewardManager.sol#320) - GEMMeta.earnedIntegral += (earnedGEM * SCALED_ONE) / totalDeposited (src/RewardManager.sol#325) - GEMMeta.lastEarned += earnedGEM (src/RewardManager.sol#326) - protocolFeesAURABalance += protocolFeesAURA (src/RewardManager.sol#311) - protocolFeesBALBalance += protocolFeesBAL (src/RewardManager.sol#310)</pre>	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager._claimUnderlyingPoolRewards(uint8) (src/RewardManager.sol#394-405): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getReward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) Event emitted after the call(s): - UnderlyingPoolRewardClaimed(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex),BAL,IERC20(BAL).balanceOf(address(omnipool))) (src/RewardManager.sol#399-403) 	<p>Low</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager.claimEarnings() (src/RewardManager.sol#142-180): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - _updateUserState(msg.sender) (src/RewardManager.sol#144) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getReward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - omnipool.approve(address(this),BAL,balToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#347) - omnipool.approve(address(this),AURA,auraToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#348) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#357) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360) - omnipool.approve(address(this),BAL,balAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#164) - BALToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,balAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#165) - omnipool.approve(address(this),AURA,auraAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#168) - AURAToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,auraAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#169) - omnipool.approve(address(this),GEM,gemAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#172) - GEMToken.transferFrom(address(omnipool),msg.sender,gemAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#173) <p>Event emitted after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RewardClaimed(msg.sender,balAmount,auraAmount,gemAmount) (src/RewardManager.sol#177) 	<p>Low</p>

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager._swapExtraReward() (src/RewardManager.sol#411-431): External calls: - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) Event emitted after the call(s): - RewardSwapped(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance,GEM,IERC20(GEM).balanceOf(address(omnipool))) (src/RewardManager.sol#419-424)</p>	Low
<p>Reentrancy in RewardManager._updateOmnipoolState() (src/RewardManager.sol#303-333): External calls: - (earnedBAL,earnedAURA,earnedGEM) = _claimOmnipoolRewards() (src/RewardManager.sol#304) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getReward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) - _claimProtocolFees() (src/RewardManager.sol#329) - omnipool.approve(address(this),BAL,balToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#347) - omnipool.approve(address(this),AURA,auraToClaim) (src/RewardManager.sol#348) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#356) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,opalTreasury,auraTreasuryPart)) (src/RewardManager.sol#357) - BALToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,balToClaim - balTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#359) - AURAToken.transferFrom(omnipoolAddr,voteLocker,auraToClaim - auraTreasuryPart) (src/RewardManager.sol#360) Event emitted after the call(s): - RewardUpdated(earnedBAL,earnedAURA,earnedGEM) (src/RewardManager.sol#332)</p>	Low

Finding	Impact
<pre> Reentrancy in RewardManager._claimOmnipoolRewards() (src/RewardManager.sol#368-392): External calls: - _claimUnderlyingPoolRewards(i) (src/RewardManager.sol#376) - success = IAuraPool(omnipool.getUnderlyingPool(_poolIndex)).getRe ward(address(omnipool),true) (src/RewardManager.sol#396-397) - _swapExtraReward() (src/RewardManager.sol#383) - success = omnipool.swapForGem(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance) (src/RewardManager.sol#417) Event emitted after the call(s): - RewardSwapped(extraRewardToken,extraRewardBalance,GEM,IERC20(GEM) .balanceOf(address(omnipool))) (src/RewardManager.sol#419-424) - _swapExtraReward() (src/RewardManager.sol#383) </pre>	<p>Low</p>
<p>End of table for RewardManager.sol</p>	

Results Summary:

The findings obtained as a result of the Slither scan were reviewed:

- The lack of zero-check on findings were added to the report.
- The uses timestamp for comparisons and has external calls inside loop informational findings were manually reviewed and determined false-positives.
- The uses arbitrary from in transferFrom, variable never initialized, reentrancy, sends eth to arbitrary user, uses a dangerous strict equality and ignores return value vulnerabilities were manually reviewed and determined false-positives.



THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

 **HALBORN**

